#### Final Report and Feasibility Study Bedford, Iowa



Program Partners: lowa Department of Transportation Trees Forever lowa State University



#### **Participants**

#### **Bedford Steering Committee**

Jason Bucher Angela DeMott Janel Irvin Nicki Lock Joe Lucas Erica Sayler Sandy Schubert Jodi Still Glenda Stockwell Patty Weese

#### **Trees Forever**

770 7th Avenue Marion, IA 52302 319-373-0650 www.treesforever.org

> Brad Riphagen 515-370-1291 briphagen@treesforever.org

#### Iowa State University

Landscape Architecture Extension 2321 North Loop Drive, Suite 121 Ames, IA 50010 515-294-3721 www.communityvisioning.org

> Julia Badenhope, Program Director and Professor of Landscape Architecture Sandra Oberbroeckling, Project Manager and Extension Program Specialist

#### **Genus Landscape Architects**

325 East 5th Street Des Moines, IA 50309 515-284-1010 www.genus-la.com

> Dylan Jones, ASLA, PLA 515-284-1010 djones@genus-la.com

Paola Monllor Torres Landscape Architecture Intern Iowa State University

#### **Table of Contents**

About Genus Landscape Architects	3
Program Overview	4
Bioregional Assessment	6
Historical Settlement Patterns	6
Historical Vegetation	8
Depth to Water Table	10
Elevation and Flow	12
Regional Watershed	14
Present-day Land Cover	16
Present-day Vegetation	18
Using Native Plants	20
Transportation Assets and Barriers	22
What People Said	24
Emerging Themes	26
Transportation Inventory	28
Concept Overview	30
Cost Opinion Summary	32
Downtown Improvements	34
Pedestrian Connections	40
Signage and Identity	46
Implementation Strategies	50
Available Resources	52



Page intentionally left blank

#### **About Genus Landscape Architects**

Located in the East Village of Des Moines, Iowa, **gēnus** is an award-winning professional consulting firm specializing in landscape architecture, planning, project management, and visual design services for clients throughout the Midwest. Our team has provided planning leadership and design excellence for communities across the Midwest and East Coast.

Established in 2005, gēnus is focused on enhancing the quality of people's lives and the condition of the built environment through the discipline of landscape architecture. The foundation of our practice lies in the pursuit of creating artful landscapes that function: places which inspire lasting memories while meeting the needs of clients, user groups, and harmonizing with the larger ecological systems in which they exist. A commitment to innovative design, stakeholder involvement, and client satisfaction is demonstrated throughout our portfolio.



#### **Program Overview**

Bedford is one of 10 communities selected to participate in the 2019 lowa's Living Roadways Community Visioning Program. The program, which selects communities through a competitive application process, provides professional planning and design assistance along transportation corridors to small lowa communities (populations of fewer than 10,000). Goals for the Visioning Program include developing a conceptual plan and implementation strategies with local communities; enhancing the natural, cultural, and visual resources of communities, and assisting local communities in using external funds as leverage for transportation corridor enhancement.

Each visioning community works through a planning process consisting of four phases of concept development:

- 1. Program initiation
- 3. Development of a concept plan

2. Needs assessment and goal setting4. Implementation and sustained action

Bedford is represented by a steering committee of local residents and stakeholders who take part in a series of meetings that are facilitated by field coordinators from Trees Forever. Iowa State University organizes design teams of professional landscape architects, design interns, and ISU faculty and staff. The program is sponsored by the Iowa Department of Transportation.

#### Community Goals

The Bedford visioning committee identified a number of goals and priority areas during the visioning process, which are included below::

- · Improve pedestrian connections and the existing trail
- Make downtown more accessible, lower curb heights, and develop a cohesive palette of site furnishings
- Improve way-finding and community branding/identity through signage

#### Priority Areas:

• Pedestrian connections: Northside Apartments to Hy-Vee, Highway 148 east to Bibbins Park, school to the track, connect to cemetery, downtown to ball fields

- Downtown improvements: along Court Ave and Main Street
- Way-finding signage: along the highway corridors, downtown, and at park entries.

#### Capturing the Vision

Based on the needs and interests of local residents, as well as a detailed inventory of community resources, the design team developed a conceptual transportation enhancement plan. This plan along with associated inventory information is illustrated in the following set of presentation boards. These boards include the following:

- 1. Program Överview
- 2. Bioregional Assessment
- 3. Transportation Assets and Barriers Assessment
- 4. Transportation Inventory
- 5. Concept Plan
- 6. Signage + Identity
- 7. Pedestrian Connections
- 8. Downtown Improvements



## **Community Visioning**

planning and design assistance along transportation corridors to small lowa communities (populations of fewer than 10,000). Program. The program, which selects communities through Bedford is one of 1.0 communities selected to participate in the 2019 lowa's Living Roadways Community Visioning a competitive application process, provides professional

Developing a conceptual plan and implementation Goals for the Visioning Program include:

- Enhancing the natural, cultural, and visual resources of strategies with local communities communities
- Assisting local communities in using external funds as leverage for transportation corridor enhancement

## Program Overview

Each visioning community works through a planning process consisting of four phases of concept development: Implementation and sustained action 2. Needs assessment and goal setting Development of a concept plan Program initiation

ISU faculty and staff. The program is sponsored by the lowa Trees Forever. Iowa State University organizes design teams of professional landscape architects, design interns, and Bedford is represented by a steering committee of local meetings that are facilitated by field coordinators from residents and stakeholders who take part in a series of Department of Transportation.



- city-owner + powntown Results of performance objectives meeting



sring committee on site tour during design workshop

Bedford

## **Community Goals**

The Bedford visioning committee identified a number of goals and priority areas during the visioning process, which are ncluded below:

Improve pedestrian connections and the existing trail Goals:

Make downtown more accessible, lower curb heights, and Improve way-finding and community branding/identity develop a cohesive palette of site furnishings through signage

#### Priority Areas:

Downtown improvements: along Court Ave and Main Street Vee, Highway 148 east to Bibbins Park, school to the track, Pedestrian connections: Northside Apartments to Hy-Way-finding signage: along the highway corridors, connect to cemetery, downtown to ball fields downtown, and at park entries.

## **Capturing the Vision**

is illustrated in the following set of presentation boards. These team developed a conceptual transportation enhancement plan. This plan along with associated inventory information as a detailed inventory of community resources, the design Based on the needs and interests of local residents, as well boards include the following:

- Program Overview ÷
- Bioregional Assessment
- Transportation Assets and Barriers Assessment
  - Transportation Inventory
  - Concept Plan
- Signage + Identity

ý. œ

- Pedestrian Connections
- Downtown Improvements



lowa State University | Trees Forever | Iowa Department of Transportation LA:Dylan Jones, PLA, ASLA Intern: Paola Monllor Torres

Program Overview





#### **Bioregional Assessment** Historical Settlement Patterns

This board uses a map from A.T. Andreas' Illustrated Historical Atlas of the State of Iowa, 1875 overlaid with present-day town boundaries and water bodies. Published in 1875, Andreas' Atlas is an extraordinary resource showing the post-Civil War landscape of Iowa, including settlement features (towns and villages, churches, schools, roads, railroads, etc.) and landscape features (water bodies, vegetated patches such as timber and swamp, and major topographic features.) A high-quality scan of the Atlas is arranged to correspond closely with the present-day map, revealing major landscape changes as well as features that have persisted, such as railroad rights-of-way and in some cases remnant vegetation patches.

#### **Bedford in Context**

Compare the 1875 boundaries of your town to the current boundaries. How much has your town grown?

Compare the course of the rivers in 1875 to their current course. Are there major changes in alignment or location? Are there vegetation patches shown in the 1875 map still in existence?



Historical Settlement Patterns Bedford

Julia Badenhope, Riley Dunn, Emma Georgeff, Timothy Kerkhove, Clare Kiboko, Alysse Kirkman, Giannis Koutsou, Zoey Mauck, Abigail Schafer

lowaState University | TreesForever | lowaDepa

### **Bioregional Context**

### Settlement Patterns

う 調

"A B.Wakama

1

MW.Hude

INK.

ARKTONE!

FDHalm

WA WISSon

railroad rights-of-way and in some cases remnant settlement features (towns and villages, churches arranged to correspond closely with the present-1875 overlaid with present-day town boundaries features (water bodies, vegetated patches such Atlas is an extraordinary resource showing the as well as features that have persisted, such as as timber and swamp, and major topographic day map, revealing major landscape changes Illustrated Historical Atlas of the State of Iowa, and water bodies. Published in 1875, Andreas' schools, roads, railroads, etc.) and landscape features.) A high-quality scan of the Atlas is post-Civil War landscape of lowa, including This board uses a map from A.T. Andreas' vegetation patches.

#### **Bedford in Context**

N N

67

10.0320

E'ums McCon

ectatt.

NY.

60

- I E ward's

date

80.

00

-

· Mohler

BEDFOR

the current boundaries. How much has your town Compare the 1875 boundaries of your town to grown?

63

30

1

PCobl

8

5

Cobha (111. 書

M Cobb

000

15.

patches shown in the 1875 map still in existence? their current course. Are there major changes in alignment or location? Are there vegetation Compare the course of the rivers in 1875 to

G.H. Kra

潮

3:33

in the

200

512

70

D.H Scott 藏

Miles

2.4

0 0.3 0.6 

-2



7

#### **Historical Vegetation**

The vegetation information shown here is derived from township maps made by the General Land Office (GLO) surveys beginning in 1836 through 1859. This information was digitized in 1996 as a resource for natural resource management and is useful "...for the study of long term ecological processes and as baseline data for the study of present day communities."1

The plant community names mapped by the GLO surveyors varied. The original terminology they used has been preserved in the original data, but we have renamed them on this map to reflect names used to describe contemporary vegetation communities.

Not all communities will have all vegetation types, because various conditions that affect vegetation, such as geology, wind exposure, seasonally high water or groundwater, and frequency of fire, differ from place to place.

Early land surveyors mapped the following vegetation types, some of which may not be presented in the vicinity of your community:

- 1. Forest: Tree dominated, with a mostly closed canopy. Ground vegetation shade tolerant. Developed under infrequent fire.
- 2. Savanna: Scattered trees, with an open canopy and prairie below. Fire dominated.
- 3. Prairie: Perennial non woody plants; fire dominated.
- 4. Field: Cultivated lands of early pioneers or Native Americans.

1J.E. Ebinger, "Presettlement Vegetation of Coles County, Illinois," Transactions of the Illinois Academy of Science (1987): 15-24, quoted in Michael Charles Miller, "Analysis of historic vegetation patterns in Iowa using Government Land Office surveys and a Geographic Information System" (master's thesis, Iowa State University, 1995), 8.



-z

### **Bioregional Context**

Julia Badenhope, Riley Dunn, Emma Georgeff, Timothy Kerkhove, Clare Ki-

boko, Alysse Kirkman, Giannis Koutsou, Zoey Mauck, Abigail Schafer

lowa State University | Trees Forever | Iowa Department of Transportation

### Historical Vegetation

The vegetation information shown here is derived from township maps made by the General Land Office (GLO) surveys beginning in 1.336 through 1.859. This information was digitized in 1.996 as a resource for natural resource management and is useful "...for the study of long term ecological processes and set baseline data for the study of present day communities."<sup>1</sup> The plant community names mapped by the GLO surveyors varied. The original terminology they used has been preserved in the original data, but we have remented them on this map to reflect names used to describe contemporary vegetation communities.

Not all communities will have all vegetation types, because various conditions that affect vegetation, such as geology, wind exposure, seasonally high water or groundwater, and frequency of fire, differ from place to place.

Early land surveyors mapped the following vegetation types, some of which may not be presented in the vicinity of your community:

 <u>1. Ecrest</u>. Tree dominated, with a mostly closed canopy. Ground vegetation shade tolerant. Developed under infrequent fire.
<u>2. Savanna</u>: Scattered trees, with an open canopy

Present-day River

orest

City Limits

 <u>2. Savanna</u>: Scattered trees, with an open can and prairie below. Fire dominated.
<u>3. Prairie</u>: Perennial non woody plants; fire dominated. 4. <u>Eield</u>: Cultivated lands of early pioneers or Native Americans.

1.1.E.Enirgen, "Presettienment/legetucien of Coles County, Illinois," Transactions of the lillinois Academy of Sciences (1987); 15–54, quoted in Nethole Cherles Nillen, "Analy of Istance regestion price in the Nanual Oceaning Oceaning and Cherles Nillen, "Yanga codesognaphic Information System (Transles Thesis, Jowa Science University, 1995). Ex Geographic Information System (Transles Thesis, Jowa Science University, 1995).



#### Depth to Water Table

The water table is defined as the distance below the surface at which the ground is saturated with water. Depth to water table is represented as a range because it varies due to seasonal changes and precipitation volumes. For example, following spring snowmelt, an area with a depth to water table ranging from one foot to three feet is likely to be at or near one-foot depth.

The map shows how close to the surface groundwater can be. Pavement and foundations are affected by the groundwater near the surface. Freezing and thawing can cause cracks or "frost boils" in pavement. Foundations can be wet and require "dewatering," which can be expensive.

Where the value is less than zero feet, water can well up out of the ground. This causes localized flooding, even if there is no surface water draining to the area.



Julia Badenhope, Riley Dunn, Emma Georgeff, Timothy Kerkhove, Clare Kiboko, Alysse Kirkman, Giannis Koutsou, Zoey Mauck, Abigail Schafer

Depth to Water Table Bedford

### **Bioregional Context**

lowa State University | Trees Forever | lowa Department of Tran

11

Depth to Water Table

table ranging from one foot to three feet is likely to and precipitation volumes. For example, following range because it varies due to seasonal changes the surface at which the ground is saturated with The water table is defined as the distance below spring snowmelt, an area with a depth to water water. Depth to water table is represented as a be at or near one-foot depth.

groundwater can be. Pavement and foundations boils" in pavement. Foundations can be wet and are affected by groundwater near the surface. Freezing and thawing and upward pressure of rising groundwater can cause cracks or "frost The map shows how close to the surface

require "dewatering," which can be expensive.

flooding, even if there is no surface water draining Where the value is less than zero feet, water can well up out of the ground. This causes localized to the area.





#### **Elevation and Flow**

The map to the right displays topographic differences in elevation using a combination of contour lines and the color gradient depicted in the legend. The high points and low points have also been located.

Note the relationship of your community to the surrounding elevation; is it located in a valley or on high ground, or is it split between the two?

If your community lies within or near a floodplain or floodway, the map reflects these features. Not all communities will have these elements; if they are absent on this map, none are present.

Flood risk is correlated to low-lying land. This map shows your community's flood risk as defined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Map Service Center. This map shows the two most important flood zones if they are present: the Base Flood and the Regulatory Floodway (consult legend). Base Flood is the zone having a 1% chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year, also referred to as the "100year floodplain." The Regulatory Floodway is the channel of a stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be kept free of encroachment so that the 1% flood discharge can be accommodated without increasing the base flood elevation.



Giannis Koutsou, Zoey Mauck, Abigail Schafer

Elevation and Flow

LP 1,115'+

+ LP 1,115' 

148

ources Geographic Information Systems Library, "http://www.igsb.uiowa.edu/nrgislibx/.

0.3 0.4 Miles

0.2

N 0 0.05 0.1

Map Source: Iow a Department of Natural Resources, "Natural Re

Julia Badenhope, Riley Dunn, Emma Georgeff, Timothy Kerkhove, Clare Kiboko, Alysse Kirkman,

lowa State University | Trees Forever | Iowa Department of Transportation

## **Bioregional Context**

### Elevation and Flow

148)

HP 1,237'+

contour lines and the color gradient depicted in the legend. The high points and low points have differences in elevation using a combination of The map to the left displays topographic also been located.

surrounding elevation; is it located in a valley or on Note the relationship of your community to the high ground, or is it split between the two?

or floodway, the map reflects these features. Not If your community lies within or near a floodplain all communities will have these elements; if they are absent on this map, none are present.

encroachment so that the 1% flood discharge can exceeded in any given year, also referred to as the Flood risk is correlated to low-lying land. This map shows the two most important flood zones if they shows your community's flood risk as defined by "100-year floodplain." The Regulatory Floodway be accommodated without increasing the base are present: the Base Flood and the Regulatory the Federal Emergency Management Agency zone having a 1% chance of being equaled or Floodway (consult legend). Base Flood is the (FEMA) Flood Map Service Center. This map is the channel of a stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be kept free of flood elevation.

Port One



13



#### **Regional Watershed**

A watershed is a defined area or ridge of land with a boundary that separates waters flowing to different rivers, creeks, or basins. Watershed boundaries show the extent of a drainage area flowing to a single outlet point and determine whether precipitation is directed into one watershed or an adjacent watershed.

It is important to note that there are multiple levels of watersheds; for instance the lowa River watershed is composed of a dozen smaller watersheds, and the lowa River watershed is a sub-basin of the Mississippi River watershed.

Where a community is located in relation to its surrounding watershed(s) determines its capacity to manage regional watershed issues such as flooding. For example, a community located near the end of a watershed (close to the outlet point) will have little capacity to reduce the amount of water draining toward it from upland areas.



Julia Badenhope, Riley Dunn, Emma Georgeff, Timothy Kerkhove, Clare Kiboko, Alysse Kirkman, Giannis Koutsou, Zoey Mauck, Abigail Schafer

lowa State University | Trees Forever | lowa Department of Transportation

**Regional Watershed Bedford** 

### **Bioregional Context**

SUMMER 2019

15

with a boundary that separates waters flowing boundaries show the extent of a drainage area to different rivers, creeks, or basins. Watershed A watershed is a defined area or ridge of land flowing to a single outlet point and determine whether precipitation is directed into one **Regional Watershed** 

levels of watersheds; for instance the lowa Rive watersheds, and the lowa River watershed is a It is important to note that there are multiple sub-basin of the Mississippi River watershed. watershed is composed of a dozen smaller

surrounding watershed(s) determines its capacity flooding. For example, a community located near the end of a watershed (close to the outlet point) will have little capacity to reduce the amount of Where a community is located in relation to its to manage regional watershed issues such as water draining toward it from upland areas.



#### Present-day Land Cover

The land-cover map depicts both natural and man-made land-cover types with aerial imagery. The lowa DNR created 15 unique classes for this dataset to differentiate land covers. Refer to the legend for a breakdown of land-cover types within your community boundaries.

What do you observe about the dominant land-cover types in your community? Where is the tree canopy most concentrated?

Look at how much of your community consists of impervious surfaces (e.g., parking lots, roads, buildings) compared to other surfaces (e.g., water, grass, and agriculture). What does this mean for surface-water movement?

Tree cover affects microclimate. Are places surrounded by canopy more pleasant in the summer? How do these places feel in the winter?

# Cree Cover<br/>12%Other<br/>4%Agriculture<br/>34%Impervious<br/>15%Grass<br/>35%35%

#### Percent Land Cover Type

Percent Land Cover Type





### Giannis Koutsou, Zoey Mauck, Abigail Schafer nt of T ever | lowa lowa State University | Trees Fe

Present-day Land Cover Bedford

### **Bioregional Context**

Julia Badenhope, Riley Dunn, Emma Georgeff, Timothy Kerkhove, Clare Kiboko, Alysse Kirkman,

**15%** Percent Land Cover Type Tree Cover 12% Grass **35%** Agriculture **34%** 





legend for a breakdown of land-cover types within

your community boundaries.

What do you observe about the dominant land-

cover types in your community?

Where is the tree canopy most concentrated?

man-made land-cover types with aerial imagery

The land-cover map depicts both natural and

Present-day Land Cover

The lowa DNR created 15 unique classes for this dataset to differentiate land covers. Refer to the buildings) compared to other surfaces (e.g. water

of impervious surfaces (e.g., parking lots, roads, Look at how much of your community consists

grass, and agriculture). What does this mean for

surface-water movement?

SUMMER 2019

17

#### **Present-day Vegetation**

This map shows the present-day vegetation in an aerial image, indicating where trees, shrubs, and other plants create shade, line streets, buffer edges, and provide other services.

Notice how much the vegetation has been altered since government land office surveyors mapped the historic vegetation. People alter vegetation to produce crops and provide shelter, and for other amenities.

Also notice how the community and its vegetation have changed since the Andreas Atlas was drawn. Development typically removes vegetation where infrastructure is built, and then re-introduces vegetation for its functional and aesthetic value.



Present-day Vegetation Bedford

### **Bioregional Context**

Julia Badenhope, Riley Dunn, Emma Georgeff, Timathy Kerkhove, Clare Kiboko, Alysse Kirkman, Giannis Koutsou, Zoey Mauck, Abigail Schafer

lowa State University | Trees Forever | lowa Department of Trc

SUMMER 2019

19

c)

an aerial image, indicating where trees, shrubs, This map shows the present-day vegetation in Present-day Vegetation

and other plants create shade, line streets, buffer edges, and provide other services.

vegetation to produce crops and provide shelter altered since government land office surveyors mapped the historic vegetation. People alter Notice how much the vegetation has been and for other amenities.

Atlas was drawn. Development typically removes vegetation where infrastructure is built, and then re-introduces vegetation for its functional and vegetation have changed since the Andrea's Also notice how the community and its aesthetic value.





#### **Using Native Plants**



#### **Current Built Landscape**



SUMMER 2019 21

#### **Pre-Settlement Landscape**



::

#### **Transportation Assets and Barriers** Overview

#### What Factors Affect Transportation in Bedford?

Transportation is integral to small-town life and a vibrant economy. In the context of the Community Visioning Program, we recognize walking, biking, and driving as quintessential modes of travel to various destinations important to residents and visitors. Access to these destinations is crucial for many everyday activities—getting to work and school, participating in community events, and providing for basic needs such as food, health care, and healthy activity.

In this participatory assessment, we want to find out which factors and conditions affect transportation use in Bedford, where these factors and conditions are most prevalent, and how they influence route and transportation choices locally. Because residents have the best knowledge of how Bedford's transportation system works, we use focused, small-group conversations, mapping, and photos of the best and worst to understand local transportation.

#### Different Users = Different Needs

To capture insights about transportation from a variety of perspectives, we invited Bedford residents with different transportation needs to participate in focus groups. A total of 69 residents attended Bedford's workshop. Participants were separated into five user groups and the Bedford steering committee.



This user group represents those in the community who engage in outdoor recreation, including cycling, walking, running, swimming, skiing, etc. The availability of multiple venues for outdoor recreation matters to this group.



Impaired

This user group is directly affected by accessibility barriers such as high curbing and uneven sidewalks that make it difficult to operate mobility-aiding equipment effectively. Handicapped parking, curb ramps, and smooth surfaces are critical transportation features.



Accessibility-both in terms of physical access and proximity-is a major concern for this user group. Because some people in this user group do not or are unable to drive, having goods and services within walking distance is important.



This group uses primarily non-motorized modes of transportation, so pedestrian- and bike-friendly streets and sidewalks are important. These users value the ability to get to destinations on foot or via bicycle and having goods and services within walking distance.



young children desire smooth, wide surfaces for strollers. The common denominator for this user group is that their observations are influenced by special knowledge of the transportation system acquired during the Community

Safety of their children is a primary concern of this user group. Access to safe and easy routes to school activities is another significant factor to this group. Parents of

Steering Committee

I he common denominator for this user group is that their observations are influenced by special knowledge of the transportation system acquired during the Community Visioning assessment process. As a result, this group is more representative of decision makers.



ment, making it apopular place view Cemetery has smooth, flat pa for walking and youth track practices.



Lake of Three Fires is a great place to explore nature and is accessible by iy paths.



Bedford

**UVErview** 



adis O

et, are in poor condition and are missina side Janu

たい



The high curbs on Main Street cause accessibility issues for multiple groups.

## What Factors Affect Transportation in Bedford?

and visitors. Access to these destinations is crucial for many everyday activities—getting to work and school, participating in we recognize walking, biking, and driving as quintessential modes of travel to various destinations important to residents Transportation is integral to small-town life and a vibrant economy. In the context of the Community Visioning Program community events, and providing for basic needs such as food, health care, and healthy activity.

Because residents have the best knowledge of how Bedford's transportation system works, we use focused, small-group where these factors and conditions are most prevalent, and how they influence route and transportation choices locally. In this participatory assessment, we want to find out which factors and conditions affect transportation use in Bedford, conversations, mapping, and photos of the best and worst to understand local transportation.

## Different Users = Different Needs

he roads around the baseball field are in poor condition, and often flood

transportation needs to participate in focus groups. A total of 69 residents attended Bedford's workshop. Participants were To capture insights about transportation from a variety of perspectives, we invited Bedford residents with different separated into five user groups and the Bedford steering committee.



(10 participants): This user group represents those in the community who engage in outdoor recreation, including cycling, walking, running, swimming, skiing, etc. The availability of multiple venues for outdoor recreation matters to this group.



(5 participants): This user group is directly affected by accessibility barriers such as high curbing and uneven sidewalks that make it difficult to operate mobility-aiding equipment effectively. Handicapped parking, curb ramps, and smooth surfaces are critical transportation features.



major concern for this user group. Because some people in this user group do not or are (12 participants): Accessibility-both in terms of physical access and proximity-is a unable to drive, having goods and services within walking distance is important.



(20 participants): This group uses primarily non-motorized modes of transportation, so pedestrian- and bike-friendly streets and sidewalks are important. These users value the ability to get to destinations on foot or via bicycle and having goods and services within walking distance.



Access to safe and easy routes to school activities is another significant factor to this (14 participants): Safety of their children is a primary concern of this user group. group. Parents of young children desire smooth, wide surfaces for strollers.



(8 participants): The common denominator for this user group is that their observations Community Visioning assessment process. As a result, this group is more representative of are influenced by special knowledge of the transportation system acquired during the decision makers. Committee Steering

## **Transportation Assets and Barriers Analysis**

Julia Badenhope, Sandra Oberbroeckling, Riley Dunn, Zoey Mauck, Parmiss Sazgar, Wei Zhang

ent of Trar owa State University | Trees Forever | Iowa Departm



23









#### **Emerging Themes**

**Actives** walk, drive, and bike regularly, either as part of a daily commute or as recreational/ sports training. This group is interested in better connectivity in town for pedestrians and cyclists.

**Mobility-impaired individuals** drive, walk, and use wheelchairs to get around. They also use the Southern Iowa Trolley when it is available. Smooth, accessible surfaces are important to this group.

**Older adults** primarily walk and drive. They consider the high curbs in the downtown district as barriers. This group would like more handicapped parking downtown.

**Youth** walk, bike, run, and take the bus. Some older youth drive. This group is interested in having more amenities at the park, the ball fields, the school, and the football/track complex.

**Parents** walk, drive, and bike. They are concerned about their children's transportation experience. Parents' top priorities include accessibility and safety.

**Steering committee members** walk and drive to get around town. This group desires a cohesive community identity and a system of way-finding signage identifying important local destinations.



27

#### **Transportation Inventory**

Through observation, anecdotal evidence, focus-group research, and discourse with City of Bedford, Taylor County, and Iowa Department of Transportation officials, the Community Visioning team has inventoried existing transportation assets, needs, and concerns throughout the community.

Existing challenges for pedestrians include high curbs and steep slopes in the downtown area, gaps in the sidewalk network along highly traveled pedestrian routes, and impaired visibility for pedestrians and motorists at some intersections, such as those near the recently installed concrete retaining walls along Highway 148.

Specific areas lacking pedestrian connectivity and accessibility are located in the aforementioned downtown area, along Highway 2 between Hy-Vee and Highway 148, to and from the west and north entrances to Bibbins Park, and between downtown and the baseball fields along Main Street.

High traffic volumes along Highways 2 and 148 and through downtown present opportunities for traffic-calming measures and enhancement to designated pedestrian routes. Additionally, a lack of pedestrian-scale way-finding signage prevents visitors from easily navigating around town. New way-finding measures can assist visitors seeking to take advantage of the town's various amenities, while improvements to pedestrian routes will enhance connectivity among areas of interest throughout Bedford.



Design Team LA: Dylan Jones, PLA, ASLA Intern: Paala Monilor Torres Iowo State University | Trees Forever | Iowa Department of Transportation

## **Bedford** Transportation Inventory

n arisportation no entro



## **Transportation Mapping**

Through observation, anecdotal evidence, focus-group research, and discourse with City of Bedford, Taylor County, and Iowa Department of Transportation officials, the Community Visioning team has inventoried existing transportation assets, needs, and concerns throughout the community. Existing challenges for pedestrians include high curbs and steep slopes in the downtown area, gaps in the sidewalk network along highly traveled pedestrian routes, and impoined visibility for pedestrians and motorists at some intersections, such as those near the recently installed concrete retaining walls along Highway 148.

Specific areas lacking pedestrian connectivity and accessibility are located in the aforementioned downtown area, along Highway 2 between Hy-Vee and Highway 148, to and from the west and north entrances to Bibbins Park, and between downtown and the baseball fields along Main Street.

High traffic volumes along Highways 2 and 148 and through downtown present opportunities for traffic-coliming measures and enhancement to designated pedestrian-routes. Additionally, a lack of pedestrian-rolemay-finding signage prevents visitors from easily navigating around town. New way-finding measures can assist visitors seeking to take advantage of the town's various amenities, while improvements to pedestrian routes will enhance connectivity among areas of interest throughout Bedford. 29

#### **Concept Overview**

Based on review of the bioregional assessment and the transportation assets and barriers assessments, and input from focus-group participants, the Bedford Community Visioning steering committee, and local and regional transportation agencies, the design team proposed several transportation improvement concepts. An emphasis on continuous sidewalks, improved crosswalks, increased access to local cultural and recreational amenities, and enhancements to way-finding and site furnishing elements will improve functionality, enhance user experience, and build identity throughout Bedford. These projects aim to make Bedford a more connected, comfortable, and beautiful community for visitors and residents alike.

All projects strive to address a range of community issues that were identified in the information gathering and analysis phase. This ensures the visioning proposal is focused on meeting the needs of the community, building on its strengths, and maximizing the benefit of solutions for what is currently lacking.

These performance-based goals and objectives fall into three primary categories as shown below. Graphic icons corresponding to each category are used to identify these benefits for each proposed project throughout the Bedford Community Visioning documents.



#### Connectivity

Continuous sidewalks, trail access, improved trails, addition of pedestrian bridge at ball fields



#### **Visibility Improvements**

Enhanced crosswalks and traffic signage, traffic calming measures, bumpouts for reduced crosswalk lengths and better visibility



#### Way-finding and Identity

Metal signage, furnishings and streetscape plantings, enhanced community brand



nent of Transportation owa State University Trees Forever Ilowa De LA: Dylan Jones, PLA, ASLA Intern: Paola Monllor Torres

Design Team





## Visioning Process + Projects

Bedford a more connected, comfortable, and beautiful community for visitors and concepts. An emphasis on continuous sidewalks, improved crosswalks, increased and barriers assessments, and input from focus-group participants, the Bedford Community Visioning steering committee, and local and regional transportation access to local cultural and recreational amenities, and enhancements to wayexperience, and build identity throughout Bedford. These projects aim to make Based on review of the bioregional assessment and the transportation assets finding and site furnishing elements will improve functionality, enhance user agencies, the design team proposed several transportation improvement residents alike.

the information gathering and analysis phase. This ensures the visioning proposal is focused on meeting the needs of the community, building on its strengths, and All projects strive to address a range of community issues that were identified in maximizing the benefit of solutions for what is currently lacking.

These performance-based goals and objectives fall into three primary categories to identify these benefits for each proposed project throughout the Bedford as shown below. Graphic icons corresponding to each category are used Community Visioning documents.





Visibility Improvements Enhanced or reseweiks and traffic signage, traffic calming measures, bumpouts for reduced or reseweik lengths and better visibility

Metal signage, furnishings and streetscape plantings, enhanced Way-finding and Identity

community brand

#### **Cost Opinion Summary**

#### **Design Expertise Recommended**

The proposed projects may require help beyond the capability of the visioning committee or available city staff. For all proposed improvements, the committee should expect to involve additional professional design consultants, including but not limited to landscape architects, civil engineers, transportation engineers, and graphic designers for detailed design, construction documentation, bidding assistance and construction administration services. Work within the right of way along Highway 148 and Highway 2 should be coordinated with the lowa Department of Transportation.

#### Project Scope and Cost Opinion

These projects and their estimated budgets are detailed in the following cost opinions. Estimates presented here are based on industry sources, previous project bid tabulations, and research. Costs are presented in 2019 dollars and will escalate in subsequent years. Local site conditions, labor, and material costs may affect actual construction costs differently than presented in estimate. Area takeoffs, square footages, and linear footages used to calculate and quantify amounts are approximate. A site survey should be provided prior to the design and construction of the following projects. Abbreviations used in the following opinions of probable cost include:

AL = allowance LF = linear foot SF = square foot EA = each

CY = cubic yard SY = square yard

#### **Cost Opinion Summary**

**Community Visioning 2019 Bedford, Iowa** October 2019

#### DOWNTOWN IMPROVEMENTS

	DOWNTOWN IMPROVEMENTS TOTAL*	\$ 743,823	
			between)
			and Central, Main and Dodge, and streetscape enhancements in
1 DOWNTOWN IMPROVEMENTS		\$ 743,823	(including Jefferson and Court intersection, Main and Court, Main

PEDESTRIAN CONNECTION IMPROVEMENTS		
1 PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE + SIDEWALK CONNECTION TO BASEBALL FIELDS	\$ 298,733	(includes pre-fabricated bridge allowance of \$100,000)
2 POLLOCK BLVD SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS TO HY-VEE	\$ 215,184	
3 TRAIL CONNECTION IMPROVEMENTS AT BIBBINS PARK AND RESURFACED EXISTING TRAIL	\$ 370,960	
4 GRANT STREET SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS - MADISON TO BIBBINS PARK	\$ 120,740	
5 POLK STREET SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS AND TRAIL CONNECTION TO FOOTBALL FIELD [PRIVATE PROPERTY]	\$ 288,740	
6 MAIN STREET AND ORCHARD STREET SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS FROM MADISON TO FAIRVIEW CEMETERY	\$ 139,790	
PEDESTRIAN CONNECTION IMPROVEMENTS TOTAL*	\$ 1,434,147	
		"Estimates do not include additional work to existing trails, roadway markings, property easements, land acquisition, or other utility modifications
SIGNAGE AND IDENTITY		
1 BIBBINS PARK ARCHWAY SIGN (BETWEEN POSTS ONLY)	\$ 35,000	
2 FREESTANDING WAYFINDING MARKERS	\$ 67,000	
3 BIBBINS PARK ENTRY SIGNAGE AND LETTERING	\$ 20,080	
SIGNAGE AND IDENTITY	\$ 122,080	
SUMMARY		
TOTAL COMBINED PROJECT COSTS	\$ 2,300,050	

#### **Downtown Improvements**

As evidenced by the TAB information (see boards 3a and 3b), a significant accessibility concern in downtown Bedford is the tall height of existing curbs. Often exceeding 8" and in some cases greater than 12" in height, the existing curbs present obstacles for those with limited mobility and occasionally impede necessary clearance for opening doors on cars after parking.

The visioning concept lowers curb heights to a more standard 6" throughout much of downtown. To achieve this, the design team proposes that approximately 6' of the rightof-way be designated as sidewalk, and the slope of the last ~3' of concrete closest to the curb line be adjusted where necessary to lower the curb heights along Court Avenue and Main Street. To help mitigate slopes and bring more green space to downtown, 3'-wide planting bands are proposed intermittently along the street's edge.

Planted bump-outs, new curb ramps, and pavement markings are shown at all intersections to enhance accessibility and walkability. Bump-outs also provide additional shade and green spaces throughout the downtown commercial corridor.

#### **Design Expertise Recommended**

The Downtown Improvement projects may require help beyond the capability of the visioning committee or available city staff. For these projects, the committee should expect to involve additional professional design consultants, including but not limited to landscape architects and civil engineers for detailed design, construction documentation, bidding assistance and construction administration services.

#### Project Scope and Cost Opinion

These projects and their estimated budgets are discussed in more detail in the following pages. Estimates presented here are based on industry sources, previous project bid tabulations, and research. Costs are presented in 2019 dollars and will escalate in subsequent years. Local site conditions, labor, and material costs may affect actual construction costs differently than presented in estimate. Area takeoffs, square footages, and linear footages used to calculate and quantify amounts are approximate. A site survey should be provided prior to the design and construction of the following projects.



35



LA: Dylan Jones, PLA, ASLA Intern: Paola MonIlor Torres Iowa State University | Trees Forever | Iowa Department of Transportation

# Downtown Improvements

LA: Dylan Jones, PLA, LA: Dylan Jones, PLA, Intern: Paola Monllor





## **Downtown Improvements**

As evidenced by the TAB information (see boards 3a and 3b), a significant accessibility concern in downtown Bedford is the tall height of existing curbs. Often exceeding 8° and in some cases greater than 1.2° in height, the existing curbs present obstacles for those with limited mobility and occasionally impede necessary clearance for opening doors on cars after parking.



#### **Downtown Improvements**

#### **Downtown Amenities**

In response to input from residents and the steering committee, the design team compiled a palette of cohesive site furnishings and streetscape elements to be implemented throughout downtown. With a similar style and black color, this site amenities palette will work in conjunction with proposed and existing signage to provide a consistent, uniform design vocabulary. Consistency throughout these elements is an important part of cultivating and building upon a town's brand and identity. Every bench, planter, litter receptacle, bike rack, and street sign work with existing architecture to communicate that identity.

Drawing inspiration from Bedford's existing street signs, historic buildings and brick streets, more classic, traditional furnishings and streetscape elements are proposed for the downtown area. A primarily black color scheme with white lettering and graphic components give these elements a timeless appearance that fits seamlessly with the range of existing colors and materials present along Main Street and Court Avenue. Proposed furnishings and streetscape elements include those shown in the image below, as well as bike racks and above-grade planters.



lowa State University | Trees Forever | lowa Department of Transportation LA: Dylan Jones, PLA, ASLA Intern: Paola Monllor Torres

Downtown Improvements Bedford

#### TRADITIONAL STREET LIGHT HANGING FLOWER BASKET SCARBOROUGH LITTER RECEPTACLE SCARBOROUGH BENCH — 14' HEIGHT TO LAMP CUSTOM SIGNAGE SIDEWALK ZONE ÷ AMENITY ZONE 'n BUMPOUT PLANTING 11'-6" DRIVELANE

**Design Team** 

Typical intersection improvements



Plan Key:

- Proposed sidewalk sloped to lower curb height to 6<sup>a</sup>
- Existing sidewalk to remain
- Curb extension with accessible curb ramps
  - Plantings within curb exter
- Proposed planting within proposed sidewalk (±3' wide)

- Parking entrance shifted south

Existing Sidewalk 10'2" ± 🚯

Litter Receptac

Existing Sidewalk 9' 11"\* ·E Typical intersection plan

F

**Downtown Amenities** 

amenities palette will work in conjunction with proposed and existing signage to provide a consistent, uniform design vocabulary. Consistency throughoutthese elements is an important prof or durivating and building upon a town's brand and identity. Every bench, planter, fitter receptacle, blier ack, and street sign work with existing architecture to communicate that identity. In response to input from residents and the steering committee, the design team completed protected of Constress esite funcilentry and rate teacage elements to be implemented throughout downtown. With a similar style and black color, this site

lettering and graphic components give these elements a timeless appearance that fits seamlessly with the range of existing solors and materials present along Main Street and Court Avenue. Proposed furnishings and streetscape elements include those shown in the image below, as well as bike racks and above-grade planters. brick streets, more classic, traditional furnishings and streetscape elements are proposed for the downtown area. A primarily black color scheme with white Drawing inspiration from Bedford's existing street signs, historic buildings and

SUMMER 2019 8b

SUMMER 2019





#### **Downtown Improvements**

DOWNTOWN IMPROVEMENTS				
Improvement Description	Unit	Qty.	Unit Cost	Subtotal
1 JEFFERSON & COURT INTERSECTION				
1 Mobilization	AL	1 \$	3,150.00	\$ 3,150.00
2 Erosion Control/SWPPP	AL	1 \$	6,250.00	\$ 6,250.00
3 Road/Pavement Removal	SF	1,330	2.50	\$ 3,325.00
4 Concrete Walk Removal	SF	470 \$	\$ 2.00	\$ 940.00
5 Curb + Gutter Removal	LF	140 \$	8.50	\$ 1,190.00
6 Proposed Concrete Walk Pavement	SF	1,005 \$	6.50	\$ 6,532.50
7 New Curb and Gutter	LF	184 \$	\$ 25.00	\$ 4,600.00
8 Planting Soil Mix (786 SF)	CY	30 \$	\$ 85.00	\$ 2,550.00
9 Perennial Plantings	SF	786 \$	\$ 8.00	\$ 6,288.00
10 Canopy Trees (2" B&B)	EA	2 \$	575.00	\$ 1,150.00
11 Bench	EA	2 \$	1,750.00	\$ 3,500.00
12 Trash Receptacle	EA	2 \$	1,300.00	\$ 2,600.00
			Section Subtotal	\$ 42,075.50
			20% Contingency	\$ 8,415.10
	Site Survey	, Design & I	Engineering Costs	\$ 6,300.00
	Downtown Improvements - Jefferson	& Court Inte	ersection Subtotal	\$ 56,790.60
2 MAIN & COURT INTERSECTION				
1 Mobilization	AL	1 \$	6,300.00	\$ 6,300.00
2 Erosion Control/SWPPP	AL	1 \$	10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00
3 Road/Pavement Removal	SF	2,650 \$	2.50	\$ 6,625.00
4 Concrete Walk Removal	SF	1,225	\$ 2.00	\$ 2,450.00
5 Curb + Gutter Removal	LF	260 \$	8.50	\$ 2,210.00
6 Proposed Concrete Walk Pavement	SF	2,360 \$	6.50	\$ 15,340.00
7 New Curb and Gutter	LF	375 \$	\$ 25.00	\$ 9,375.00
8 Planting Soil Mix (1438 SF)	CY	54 \$	\$ 85.00	\$ 4,590.00
9 Perennial Plantings	SF	1,438 \$	\$ 8.00	\$ 11,504.00
10 Canopy Trees (2" B&B)	EA	8 4	575.00	\$ 4,600.00
11 Bench	EA	4 4	1,750.00	\$ 7,000.00
12 Trash Receptacle	EA	4 \$	\$ 1,300.00	\$ 5,200.00
Section Subtotal				\$ 85,194.00
			20% Contingency	\$ 17,038.80
	Site Survey	, Design & I	Engineering Costs	\$ 12,800.00
	Downtown Improvements - Main	& Court Inte	ersection Subtotal	\$ 115,032.80

#### **Downtown Improvements**

DOWNTOWN IMPROVEMENTS					
Improvement Description	Unit	Qty.	Unit Cost		Subtotal
3 MAIN & CENTRAL INTERSECTION					
1 Mobilization	AL	1\$	6,500.00	\$	6,500.00
2 Erosion Control/SWPPP	AL	1\$	10,000.00	\$	10,000.00
3 Road/Pavement Removal	SF	2,784 \$	2.50	\$	6,960.00
4 Concrete Walk Removal	SF	1,224 \$	2.00	\$	2,448.00
5 Curb + Gutter Removal	LF	262 \$	8.50	\$	2,227.00
6 Proposed Concrete Walk Pavement	SF	2,408 \$	6.50	\$	15,652.00
7 New Curb and Gutter	LF	385 \$	25.00	\$	9,625.00
8 Planting Soil Mix (1525 SF)	CY	57 \$	85.00	\$	4,845.00
9 Perennial Plantings	SF	1,525 \$	8.00	\$	12,200.00
10 Canopy Trees (2" B&B)	EA	8 \$	575.00	\$	4,600.00
11 Bench	EA	4 \$	1,750.00	\$	7,000.00
12 Trash Receptacle	EA	4 \$	1,300.00	\$	5,200.00
			Section Subtotal	\$	87,257.00
		2	0% Contingency	\$	17,451.40
	Site Survey	r, Design & Ei	ngineering Costs	\$	13,100.00
	Downtown Improvements - Main &	Central Inte	rsection Subtotal	\$	117,808.40
4 MAIN & DODGE INTERSECTION					
1 Mobilization	AL	1\$	6,200.00	\$	6,200.00
2 Erosion Control/SWPPP	AL	1 \$	10,000.00	\$	10,000.00
3 Road/Pavement Removal	SF	2,695 \$	2.50	\$	6,737.50
4 Concrete Walk Removal	SF	750 \$	2.00	\$	1,500.00
5 Curb + Gutter Removal	LF	250 \$	8.50	\$	2,125.00
6 Proposed Concrete Walk Pavement	SF	1,895 \$	6.50	\$	12,317,50
7 New Curb and Gutter	LF	394 \$	25.00	\$	9.850.00
8 Planting Soil Mix (1600 SF)	CY	60 \$	85.00	\$	5.100.00
9 Perennial Plantinas	SF	1,600 \$	8.00	\$	12,800.00
10 Canopy Trees (2" B&B)	FA	8 \$	575.00	<del>*</del> \$	4 600 00
11 Bench	EA	4 \$	1,750.00	<del>1</del> \$	7 000 00
12 Trash Receptacle	EA	4 \$	1,300.00	\$	5,200.00
			Caption Cubtotal	¢.	92,420,00
				<u>ቅ</u>	63,430.00
	C:+- C	Z	0% Contingency	<u>}</u>	16,686.00
	Downtown Improvements - Main &	, Design & El	rsection Subtotal	<u>ֆ</u> Տ	112,500.00
		200gee		•	
SIDEWALKS BEIWEEN INTERSECTIONS [INCLUDES WEST SIDE OF STATE ST II		1 \$	20,000,00	¢	20,000,00
2 Erosion Control/SWPPP	ΔI	1 \$	25,000.00	<u>ቀ</u>	25,000.00
3 Road/Payement Removal (State St)	CE SE	450 \$	25,000.00	ቁ 4	1 125.00
4 Concrete Walk Removal	ST SF	5 450 \$	2.00	<u>ቀ</u> ወ	10 900 00
5 Curb + Gutter Removal (State St)		2,430 \$	8.50	ቁ 4	FED ED
Cold + Golder Removal (Sidle Si)	LI	2 000 \$	0.00	<u>ቀ</u>	352.30
7 Now Curb and Cutter (State St)	51	3,700 \$	35.00	<u>ቀ</u>	23,330.00
New Cold and Goner (side 3) Societive Light Balas (with hanging flower basket)		00 \$	7 500 00	<u>ቅ</u>	1,625.00
Blanking Seil Mix (1500 SE)	EA	20 \$	7,300.00	<u>}</u>	150,000.00
9 Pidniing Soli Mix (1500 SF)	CI	36 \$	65.00	<u> </u>	4,760.00
10 Perennial Plantings	SF	1,500 \$	8.00	\$	12,000.00
			Section Subtotal	\$	251,312.50
		2	0% Contingency	\$	50,262.50
	Site Survey	r, Design & Ei	ngineering Costs	\$	40,000.00
	Downtown Improvements - Side	walks / Stree	etscape Subtotal	Ş	341,575.00
	DOWN		VEMENTS TOTAL*	\$	743,822.80
*Estimates do not include property easements, land acquisitic Signage estimates included in separate category.	n, or other utility modifications.				

#### **Pedestrian Connections - North**

Other areas identified for pedestrian connectivity improvements include the route along Pollock Boulevard (Hwy 2) between Hy-Vee and the existing sidewalks on Illinois Street and Madison Street (Hwy 148), the multi-use recreational trail between Bibbins Park and the Bedford Water Supply Lake north of town, and the connection to Bibbins Park from Madison Street via Grant Street.

A continuous sidewalk is being proposed along the south side of Pollock Boulevard to connect the Hy-Vee to the existing pedestrian routes through town along Illinois and Madison Streets. A sidewalk connection is also being proposed between Madison Street and State Street along Grant Street to provide pedestrians with direct access to the west entrance of Bibbins Park.

In addition to new concrete surfacing, new pedestrian routes will incorporate curb ramps, retaining walls, pedestrian crossing signs, and marked street crossings at intersections to alert vehicular traffic to pedestrian activity and maintain comfort and accessibility for all users.

An extension of the existing recreational trail across Pollock Boulevard (Hwy 2) to the north entrance of Bibbins Park will provide more convenient access to the trail and associated amenities to the north around the Bedford Water Supply Lake. Resurfacing the existing gravel trail with asphalt and adding new plantings are intended to provide comfort and encourage more activity on the multi-use trail between Bibbins Park and the lake.

#### **Design Expertise Recommended**

The Pedestrian Connections projects may require help beyond the capability of the visioning committee or available city staff. For these projects, the committee should expect to involve additional professional design consultants, including but not limited to landscape architects and civil engineers for detailed design, construction documentation, bidding assistance and construction administration services.

#### Project Scope and Cost Opinion

These projects and their estimated budgets are discussed in more detail in the following pages. Estimates are based on industry sources, previous project bid tabulations, and research. Local site conditions, labor, and material costs may affect actual construction costs differently than presented in estimate. Area takeoffs, square footages, and linear footages used to calculate and quantify amounts are approximate. A site survey should be provided prior to the design and construction of the following projects. Any sidewalk or trail that crosses Highway 2 or Highway 148, and any improvement within the state highway right of way requires coordination with the lowa Department of Transportation.



Highway 2 connection to Hy-Vee



Highway 2 connection to pedestrian trail







Section

lowa State University | Trees Forever | lowa Department of Transportation LA: Dylan Jones, PLA, ASLA Intern: Paola Monllor Torres Design Team



**Pedestrian Connections** 

include the route along Pollock Boulevard (Hwy 2) between Hy-Vee and the existing sidewalks on Illinois Street and Madison Street (Hwy

Other areas identified for pedestrian connectivity improvements

148), the multi-use recreational trail between Bibbins Park and the

Bedford Water Supply Lake north of town, and the connection to

Bibbins Park from Madison Street via Grant Street.

Pollock Boulevard to connect the Hy-Vee to the existing pedestrian routes through town along Illinois and Madison Streets. A sidewalk

A continuous sidewalk is being proposed along the south side of

State Street along Grant Street to provide pedestrians with direct

access to the west entrance of Bibbins Park.

connection is also being proposed between Madison Street and



and marked street crossings at intersections to alert vehicular traffic

incorporate curb ramps, retaining walls, pedestrian crossing signs,

In addition to new concrete surfacing, new pedestrian routes will

to pedestrian activity and maintain comfort and accessibility for all

users.

Boulevard (Hwy 2) to the north entrance of Bibbins Park will provide

An extension of the existing recreational trail across Pollock

the north around the Bedford Water Supply Lake. Resurfacing the

more convenient access to the trail and associated amenities to

intended to provide comfort and encourage more activity on the

multi-use trail between Bibbins Park and the lake.

existing gravel trail with asphalt and adding new plantings are

Improved connection to highway and Hy-Vee from Madison St.



SUMMER 2019

**NISIONING** 

Iowa's Living Roadways

41

#### **Pedestrian Connections - Ball Fields**

The transportation assets and barriers analysis information clearly shows Bedford residents' and committee members' desire to improve pedestrian connectivity across town, especially to sport complexes and recreation and retail areas, and to have continuous sidewalks along highly-traveled pedestrian corridors. As seen on board 3c, an improved trail system and complete/accessible walks ranked the highest for most desired improvements.

The route between downtown and the city ball fields (along Main St), was identified as needing connectivity and circulation improvements. There is currently no sidewalk linking downtown to the ball fields, and convenient access to the ball fields for those walking from downtown or parking on Main Street is limited by existing site topography and drainage. To address these concerns, a continuous sidewalk has been proposed along the south side of Main Street from State Street to just beyond Dotur Street. Intermittent ornamental and overstory trees lining this section of Main Street assist with traffic calming while providing additional shade for pedestrians walking between downtown and the ball fields on hot summer days.

A pedestrian bridge (~30' length) is proposed to cross the drainage way along the north side of Main Street, giving users more convenient access to the ball fields from the proposed sidewalk or for those parked along Main Street.

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 



Main St. to ball fields plan view



3ridge crossing to ball fields

**Bedford** 

## **Pedestrian Connections**

The transportation assets and barriers analysis information clearly shows Bedford residents' and committee members' desire to improve padestrian connectivity across town, especially to sport complexes and recreation and retail areas, and to have continuous sidewalks along highly-traveled pedestrian corridors. As seen on board 3c, an improved trail system and complete/accessible walks ranked the highest for most desired improvements. The route between downtown and the city ball fields (along Main St), was identified as needing connectivity and circulation improvements. There is currently no sidewalk linking downtown to the ball fields, and convenient access to the ball fields for those walking from downtown or parking on Main Street is limited by existing site topography and drainage.

To address these concerns, a continuous sidewalk has been proposed along the south side of Main Street from State Street to just beyond Dotur Street. Intermittent ornamental and overstory trees lining this section of Main Street assist with traffic calming while providing additional shade for pedestrians walking between downtown and the bulfieldson hort summer days.

A pedestrian bridge ("30' length) is proposed to cross the drainage way along the north side of Main Street, giving users more convenient access to the ball fields from the proposed sidewalk or for those parked along Main Street.



Intern: Paola Monllor Torres Iowa State University I Trees Forever I Iowa Department of Transportation

Pedestrian Connections



Bridge crossing enlargement plan



43

#### **Pedestrian Connections**

PEDESTRIAN CONNECTION IMPROVEMENTS				
Improvement Description	Unit	Qty.	Unit Cost	Subtotal
1 PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE + SIDEWALK CONNECTION TO BASEBALL FIELDS				
1 Mobilization	AL	1	\$ 16,500.00	\$ 16,500,00
2 Site Preparation and Grading Allowance (15,500 SF)	AL	1	\$ 12,400.00	\$ 12,400.00
3 30' L x 10' W Pre-fabricated Bridge	AL	1	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00
4 Proposed Concrete Walk Pavement (6' W x 680' L, 5" thick over aggregate base)	SF	4,375	\$ 7.50	\$ 32,812.50
5 New Curb and Gutter	LF	52	\$ 25.00	\$ 1,300.00
6 Planting Soil Mix (3,000 SF)	CY	110	\$ 85.00	\$ 9,350.00
7 Perennial Plantings	SF	3,000	\$ 8.00	\$ 24,000.00
8 Canopy Trees (2" B&B)	EA	21	\$ 575.00	\$ 12,075.00
9 Ornamental Trees	EA	26	\$ 450.00	\$ 11,700.00
10 Restoration Seeding (hydroseed turf 5' along new walks)	SY	380	\$ 3.00	\$ 1,140.00
			Section Subtotal	\$221,277.50
			20% Contingency	\$ 44,255.50
	Site Survey	, Design &	Engineering Costs	\$ 33,200.00
Ped	lestrian Bridge + S	idewalk Co	onnection Subtotal	\$ 298,733.00
2 POLLOCK BLVD SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS TO HY-VEE				
1 Mobilization	AL	1	\$ 12,000.00	\$ 12,000.00
2 Site Preparation and Grading Allowance (25,600 SF)	AL	1	\$ 20,500.00	\$ 20,500.00
3 Proposed Concrete Walk Pavement (6' W x 1600' L, 5" thick over aggregate base)	SF	9,600	\$ 6.50	\$ 62,400.00
4 Push-button LED Signal at Highway	EA	2	\$ 12,500.00	\$ 25,000.00
5 Crosswalk Striping	AL	1	\$ 750.00	\$ 750.00
6 Retaining Wall	LF	600	\$ 60.00	\$ 36,000.00
7 Restoration Seeding (hydroseed turf 5' along new walks)	SY	890	\$ 3.00	\$ 2,670.00
			Section Subtotal	\$159.320.00
			20% Contingency	\$ 31,864.00
	Site Survey	, Design &	Engineering Costs	\$ 24,000.00
Pollock Bi	vd Sidewalk Impr	ovements t	o Hy-Vee Subtotal	\$215,184.00
3 TRAIL CONNECTION IMPROVEMENTS AT BIBBINS PARK AND RESURFACED EXISTING TRAIL				
1 Mobilization	AL	1	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00
2 Site Preparation and Grading Allowance	AL	1	\$ 60,000.00	\$ 60,000.00
3 Proposed Concrete Walk Pavement	SF	2,500	\$ 6.50	\$ 16,250.00
4 Resurfaced Trail to Lake (10' W x 2,000' L )	SY	2,222	\$ 65.00	\$ 144,430.00
5 Push-button LED Signal at Highway	EA	2	\$ 12,500.00	\$ 25,000.00
6 Ornamental Trees	EA	12	\$ 450.00	\$ 5,400.00
7 Shrubs	EA	14	\$ 75.00	\$ 1,050.00
8 Restoration Seeding (hydroseed turf 5' along new walks)	SY	890	\$ 3.00	\$ 2,670.00
			Section Subtotal	\$274,800.00
			20% Contingency	\$ 54,960.00
	Site Survey	, Design &	Engineering Costs	\$ 41,200.00
Trail Connection Improvement	s at Bibb ins Park o	and Trail Re	surfacing Subtotal	\$370,960.00

redesikian connection improvements					
Improvement Description	Unit	Qty.	Unit Cost		Subtotal
4 GRANT STREET SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS - MADISON TO BIBBINS PARK					
1 Mobilization	AL	1	\$ 6,700.00	\$	6,700.00
2 Site Preparation and Grading Allowance (20,000 SF)	AL	1	\$ 16,000.00	\$	16,000.00
3 Proposed Concrete Walk Pavement (4' Sidewalks)	SF	5,500	\$ 6.50	\$	35,750.00
4 Crosswalk Striping	AL	2	\$ 750.00	\$	1,500.00
5 Push-button LED Signal at Madison	EA	2	\$ 12,500.00	\$	25,000.00
6 Restoration Seeding (hydroseed turf 5' along new walks)	SY	1,500	\$ 3.00	\$	4,500.00
			Section Subtotal		\$89,450.00
			20% Contingency	\$	17,890.00
	Site Survey	, Design &	Engineering Costs	\$	13,400.00
	Grant Street Side	walk Impr	ovements Subtotal		\$120,740.00
5 POLK STREET SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS AND TRAIL CONNECTION TO FOOTBALL FIELD IPR	IVATE PROPERTY1				
1 Mobilization	AL	1	\$ 16,000.00	\$	16,000.00
2 Site Preparation and Grading Allowance (Public Sidewalks) (21,000 SF)	AL	1	\$ 17,000.00	\$	17,000.00
3 Proposed Concrete Walk Pavement (4' Public Sidewalks)	SF	6,000	\$ 6.50	\$	39,000.00
4 Crosswalk Striping	AL	1	\$ 750.00	\$	750.00
5 Push-button LED Signal	EA	2	\$ 12,500.00	\$	25,000.00
6 Retaining Wall	LF	100	\$ 60.00	\$	6,000.00
7 Restoration Seeding (hydroseed turf 5' along new walks)	SY	1,700	\$ 3.00	\$	5,100.00
8 Site Preparation and Grading Allowance (Private Trail) (27,000 SF)	AL	1	\$ 22,000.00	\$	22,000.00
9 Proposed Concrete Walk Pavement (8' Private Trail)	SF	12,000	\$ 6.50	\$	78,000.00
10 Restoration Seeding (hydroseed turf 5' along new walks)	SY	1,700	\$ 3.00	\$	5,100.00
			Section Subtotal		\$213,950.00
			20% Contingency	\$	42,790.00
	Site Survey	, Design &	Engineering Costs	\$	32,000.00
Polk Street Side	ewalk Improvements o	and Trail Co	onnection Subtotal		\$288,740.00
6 MAIN STREET AND ORCHARD STREET SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS FROM MADISON TO FAIR					
1 Mobilization	AL	1	\$ 7,700.00	\$	7,700.00
2 Site Preparation and Grading Allowance (33,000 SF)	AL	1	\$ 26,500.00	\$	26,500.00
3 Proposed Concrete Walk Pavement (4' Sidewalks)	SF	9,450	\$ 6.50	\$	61,425.00
4 Restoration Seeding (hydroseed turf 5' along new walks)	SY	2,650	\$ 3.00	\$	7,950.00
			Section Subtotal		\$103 575 00
			20% Contingency	\$	20.715.00
	Site Survey	Design &	Engineering Costs	\$	15.500.00
Main an	d Orchard Streets Side	walk Impr	ovements Subtotal	- T	\$139,790.00
	PEDESTRIAN CONNEG	CTION IMPR	ROVEMENTS TOTAL*		\$1,434,147.00
*Estimates do not include additional work to existing trails roadway markings r	property easements la	ind acquis	ition or other		

\*Estimates do not include additional work to existing trails, roadway markings, property easements, land acquisition, or other utility modifications

#### Signage and Identity

#### Way-finding Signage

Bedford's many distinct historical monuments and buildings provide the city's residents and visitors alike with a wide array of scenic views and compelling landmarks from which the steering committee and design team have drawn inspiration for way-finding and identity signage concepts.

A series of markers are proposed to highlight some of the historic architectural and recreational amenities that can be found throughout the city. The imagery shown on these sign markers is inspired by views around town including those of the Courthouse, the Lenox Round Barn, the multi-use loop trail, and recreation area. Each marker also features a backdrop of trees and vegetation, harkening back to the town's original name: "Grove."

These signs are to have a traditional look comprised of powder-coated steel with white text and imagery to complement the existing downtown street signs. Along with the light poles, proposed black planters, litter receptacles, and benches, the way-finding signs are part of a cohesive collection of site amenities to bring consistency to these elements throughout Bedford. Additionally, new gateway signage mounted to existing columns at Bibbins Park will upgrade the park entrances.

#### **Design Expertise Recommended**

Signage and Identity projects may require help beyond the capability of the visioning committee or available city staff. For these projects, the committee should expect to involve a landscape architect and graphic designer for detailed design, construction documentation, bidding assistance and construction administration services.

#### Project Scope and Cost Opinion

These projects and their estimated budgets are discussed in more detail in the following pages. Estimates are based on industry sources, previous project bid tabulations, and research. Local site conditions, labor, and material costs may affect actual construction costs differently than presented in estimate. Area takeoffs, square footages, and linear footages used to calculate and quantify amounts are approximate.





and compelling landmarks from which the steering committee and design team have drawn inspiration for way-finding and identity signage Bedford's many distinct historical monuments and buildings provide the city's residents and visitors alike with a wide array of scenic views concepts.

city. The imagery shown on these sign markers is inspired by views around town including those of the Courthouse, the Lenox Round Barn, the multi-use loop trail, and recreation area. Each marker also features a backdrop of trees and vegetation, harkening back to the town's original A series of markers are proposed to highlight some of the historic architectural and recreational amenities that can be found throughout the name: "Grove."

cohesive collection of site amenities to bring consistency to these elements throughout Bedford. Additionally, new gateway signage mounted downtown street signs. Along with the light poles, proposed black planters, litter receptacles, and benches, the way-finding signs are part of a These signs are to have a traditional look comprised of powder-coated steel with white text and imagery to complement the existing to existing columns at Bibbins Park will upgrade the park entrances.





Existing street signs – inspiration for proposed signage







lowa State University | Trees Forever | lowa Department of Transportation LA: Dylan Jones, PLA, ASLA Intern: Paola Monllor Torres Design Team





commur

#### Signage and Identity

SIGNAGE AND IDENTITY				
Improvement Description	Unit	Qty.	Unit Cost	Subtotal
1 BIBBINS PARK ARCHWAY SIGN (BETWEEN POSTS ONLY)				
1 Mobilization	AL	1 \$	2,000.00	\$ 2,000.00
2 Custom Steel Archway Sign	EA	1\$	12,500.00	\$ 12,500.00
3 Planting Allowance	AL	1\$	3,000.00	\$ 3,000.00
4 Utilities Allowance (monument uplighting and electrical)	AL	1\$	5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
		S	ection Subtotal	\$22,500.00
		20	% Contingency	\$ 4,500.00
		Design & Er	gineering Costs	\$ 8,000.00
	Bibbin	s Park Archw	ay Sign Subtotal	\$ 35,000.00
2 FREESTANDING WAYFINDING MARKERS				 
1 Mobilization	AL	1 \$	3,500.00	\$ 3,500.00
2 Steel Light Pole Attachment Display Marker	EA	20 \$	750.00	\$ 15,000.00
3 Steel Wayfinding Sign (Pedestrian Scale)	EA	1 \$	7,000.00	\$ 7,000.00
4 Steel Wayfinding Sign (Roadway Scale)	EA	1 \$	15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00
5 Utilities Allowance (monument uplighting and electrical)	AL	1 \$	7,000.00	\$ 7,000.00
		S	ection Subtotal	 \$47,500.00
		20	% Contingency	\$ 9,500.00
		Design & Er	gineering Costs	\$ 10,000.00
	Freestanding	Wayfinding N	Markers Subtotal	\$ 67,000.00
3 BIBBINS PARK ENTRY SIGNAGE AND LETTERING				
1 Mobilization	AL	1 \$	1,500.00	\$ 1,500.00
3 Custom Steel Display Marker	EA	1 \$	750.00	\$ 750.00
4 Custom Steel Letters	EA	11 \$	400.00	\$ 4,400.00
5 Planting Allowance	AL	1 \$	3,000.00	\$ 3,000,00
6 Utilities Allowance (monument uplighting and electrical)	AL	1 \$	5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
		S	ection Subtotal	\$14,650.00
		20	% Contingency	\$ 2.930.00
		Desian & Fr	aineerina Costs	\$ 2,500,00
	Bibbins Park Entry Si	gnage and L	ettering Subtotal	\$ 20,080.00
	S	GNAGE AND	IDENTITY TOTAL*	 \$122.080.00
*Estimates do not include structural analysis or modifications to existin	Si ng park columns/poles, property	GNAGE AND easements,	IDENTITY TOTAL*	 \$122,080

Page intentionally left blank

#### Implementation Strategies

#### YEAR ONE

TASK 1	Identify and form a Community Steering Committee [which meets on a minimum of a quarterly basis].
TASK 2	Identify and rank in order of importance and priority, the community improvement project(s) that were identified in the feasibility study.
TASK 3	Identify eligible and related potential grant or other funding sources to finance the community improvement project(s) chosen to be implemented first.
TASK 4	Submit application(s) for eligible and related grant and/or loan programs, and/or promote potential project(s) through fundraising efforts to help finance the first improvement.
MEASUREMENT	Formed a Community Steering Committee.
OF SUCCESS	Prioritized the community improvement projects identified in the Feasibility Study.
	Created a list of eligible grant and/or loan funding sources and/or fundraising ideas for financing the first community improvement project.
	Submitted a grant and/or loan application and other required documentation or conducted fundraising for the first community improvement project.

#### YEAR TWO

TASK 1	Upon a successful grant and/or loan application and/or fundraising effort: develop a schedule for contracting for design, advertising for bid, and contracting for construction of the first community improvement project.
TASK 2	Select and execute a contract with a Landscape Architect/Design Professional as your lead design consultant for the first community improvement project [Design and Construction Documentation phase].
TASK 3	Send the construction documents out for bid. Select and execute a contract with a General Contractor as your construction manager for the first community improvement project [Retain a Design Professional for Construction Administration services during the construction phase].
MEASUREMENT OF SUCCESS	Received a successful grant and/or loan award letter, or raised/allocated enough funds to design and construct the first community improvement project.
	Selected a Landscape Architect / Design Professional to prepare the full scope of design documents and bid documents for construction of the first community improvement project.
	Sent the project out for bid and selected a General Contractor to complete the construction of the first community improvement project.

#### YEAR THREE

TASK 1	Identify eligible and related potential grant or other funding sources to finance the community improvement project(s) chosen to be implemented second.
TASK 2	Submit application(s) for eligible and related grant and/or loan programs and/or promote potential project(s) through fundraising efforts to help finance the second improvement project.
MEASUREMENT OF SUCCESS	Created a list of eligible grant and/or loan funding sources and/or fundraising ideas for financing the second community improvement project.
	Submitted a grant and/or loan application and other required documentation or conducted fundraising for the second priority community improvement project.

#### YEAR FOUR

TASK 1	Upon a successful grant and/or loan application and/or fundraising effort: develop a schedule for contracting for design, advertising for bid, and contracting for construction of the second community improvement project.
•••••	
TASK 2	Select and execute a contract with a Landscape Architect/Design Professional as your lead design consultant for the second community improvement project [Design and Construction Documentation phase].
•••••	
TASK 3	Send the construction documents out for bid. Select and execute a contract with a General Contractor as your construction manager for the second community improvement project [Retain a Design Professional for Construction Administration services during the construction phase].
•••••	
MEASUREMENT OF SUCCESS	Received a successful grant and/or loan award letter, or raised/allocated enough funds to design and construct the second community improvement project.
	Selected a Landscape Architect / Design Professional to prepare the full scope of design documents and bid documents for construction of the second community improvement project.
	Sent the project out for bid and selected a General Contractor to complete the construction of the second community improvement project.

Repeat Year Three and Four tasks as necessary to complete all community improvement projects.

#### **Available Resources**

There are many creative ways that communities can raise the resources necessary to fund and implement projects. The following list is a compilation of various sources and opportunities for funding the projects conceptualized during the visioning process. This list is not all-inclusive; it is meant to serve as a tool to assist in brainstorming ideas.

Funding Opportunities

- Grants
- · Partnerships (private and public)
- · Trusts and endowments
- Fund-raising and donations
- Memorials
- Volunteer labor
- · Low-interest loans
- · Implementation of project in phases

#### Funding Sources

- · Iowa Department of Transportation
- · Iowa Department of Natural Resources
- · lowa Department of Education
- · Iowa Department of Economic Development
- Utility companies
- Trees Forever

#### **Grant Programs**

- Federal Surface Transportation Program (STP)
- · Iowa Clean Air Attainment Program (ICAAP)
- · Iowa DOT/DNR Fund Iowa
- · Iowa DOT Iowa's Living Roadways Projects Program
- · Iowa DOT Living Roadways Trust Fund Program
- · Iowa DOT Pedestrian Curb Ramp Construction Program
- · Iowa DOT Statewide Transportation Enhancement Funding
- · Iowa DNR Recreation Infrastructure Program
- · Land and Water Conservation Fund
- · National Recreational Trails Program
- Pheasants Forever
- · Revitalization Assistance for Community Improvement (RACI) Grant Program
- State Recreational Trails Program
- Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP)